


Standing Committee on Parliamentary Privilege and Ethics

REPORT 1/56 - JUNE 2018

REVIEW OF THE CODE OF CONDUCT FOR MEMBERS



New South Wales Parliamentary Library cataloguing-in-publication data:

New South Wales. Parliament. Legislative Assembly. Standing Committee on Parliamentary Privilege and Ethics.

Review of the Code of Conduct for Members / Legislative Assembly, Standing Committee on Parliamentary Privilege and Ethics [Sydney, N.S.W.]: the Committee, 2018. [43] pages; 30 cm. (Report no. 1/56 Standing Committee on Parliamentary Privilege and Ethics)

"June 2018".

Chair: Mark Taylor, MP.

ISBN 9781921012679

- 1. New South Wales. Parliament—Rules and practice.
- 2. New South Wales. Parliament—Ethics.
- 3. Political ethics—New South Wales.
- 4. Legislators—Professional ethics—New South Wales.
- 5. Parliamentary practice—New South Wales.
- I. Taylor, Mark.
- II. Title.
- III. Series: New South Wales. Parliament. Legislative Assembly. Standing Committee on Parliamentary Privilege and Ethics. Report; no. 1/56

(328.944 DDC22)

The motto of the coat of arms for the state of New South Wales is "Orta recens quam pura nites". It is written in Latin and means "newly risen, how brightly you shine".

Contents

Membership	ii
Terms of Reference	iii
Chair's Foreword	iv
List of Findings and Recommendations	i
CHAPTER ONE – A REVISED MEMBERS' CODE OF CONDUCT	1
CHAPTER TWO – WHY REVISE THE CODE IN THIS WAY?	6
ACCOMPANYING GUIDANCE	20
CHAPTER THREE – CONDUCT OF THE INQUIRY	22
TERMS OF REFERENCE	22
CONDUCT OF THE INQUIRY	22
APPENDIX ONE – THE CURRENT CODE	24
THE MEMBERS' CODE OF CONDUCT	24
APPENDIX TWO – AMENDMENTS TO THE MEMBERS' CODE OF CONDUCT S	
	27
APPENDIX THREE – EXTRACTS FROM MINUTES	29

Membership

CHAIR Mr Mark Taylor MP (from 29 March 2017)

DEPUTY CHAIR Mr Michael Johnsen MP (from 29 March 2017)

MEMBERS Mr Kevin Anderson MP (from 28 May 2015 until 2 June 2016)

Mr Greg Aplin MP (from 21 March 2016)

Mr Glenn Brookes MP (from 28 May 2015 until 21 March 2016)

Mr Kevin Conolly MP (from 28 May 2015)

Mr Mark Coure MP (from 28 May 2015 until 29 March 2017) Mr Christopher Gulaptis MP (from 11 October 2016 until 29

March 2017)

Mr Ron Hoenig MP (from 28 May 2015)

Mr Adam Marshall MP (from 2 June 2016 to 11 October 2016) Mr Jai Rowell MP (from 28 May 2015 until 29 March 2017)

Mr Damien Tudehope MP (from 29 March 2017)

CONTACT DETAILS Legislative Assembly Standing Committee on

Parliamentary Privilege and Ethics

Parliament House Macquarie Street Sydney NSW 2000

TELEPHONE (02) 9230 2666

FACSIMILE (02) 9230 2333

E-MAIL <u>Ethics.committee@parliament.nsw.gov.au</u>

URL www.parliament.nsw.gov.au/laprivilegeandethics

REPORT 1/56

Terms of Reference

That the Committee review the Code of Conduct for Members, pursuant to s72E (5) of the *Independent Commission Against Corruption Act 1988*.

Chair's Foreword

In March, the Committee tabled its discussion paper on the Members' Code of Conduct. The Committee is now in a position to make its final report which improves slightly on this earlier draft.

There are two changes. The first has improved the draft Code's overall structure with a new Clause 5 on limitations on breaches of the Code. The second has improved the construction of Clause 7 "Conflicts of Interest" with a redrafted sentence paragraph one. The Committee is very grateful to Mr Alister Henskens SC MP for proposing these changes.

Overall though the Code has not otherwise changed. Indeed far from it, as the discussion draft of the Code received some very positive reviews from those with considerable experience in the field of Members' ethics.

Firstly, Dr Robert Waldersee who was the Executive Director of Corruption Prevention at the Independent Commission Against Corruption from June 2008 until October 2016:

"I commend the committee on the significant effort that has gone into the revision of the Code of Conduct. The result is a dramatically improved document that meets the goals of any Code. It is simple to follow and remember yet comprehensive, and aspirational yet with clear rules that may lead to sanctions."

Secondly, Ms Ronda Miller, former Clerk of the Legislative Assembly:

The proposed amendments and restructure of the elements of the Code are welcome improvements, which will strengthen the application of the Code and facilitate Members' understanding of the separate provisions and how the Code operates in practice. The insertion of brief but practical commentary will also strengthen the Code and is strongly recommended.

So it is with these authoritative endorsements and with the unanimous support of a cross-party committee that I commend the report to the House.

In doing so, I would like to thank all of those who have made a submission to the review process. In particular I would like to acknowledge Ms Margaret Crawford, Auditor-General, and Mr Barry Underwood, Executive Officer, Audit Office of New South Wales; Mr John Evans PSM, Parliamentary Ethics Adviser; Mr Alister Henskens SC MP; the Independent Commission Against Corruption; the former Clerk of the Legislative Assembly Ms Ronda Miller; and Dr Robert Waldersee, formerly the Executive Director, Corruption Prevention Division, ICAC.

The Committee has sought their views in various ways throughout the inquiry and it has always been grateful for their considered and constructive contributions. Whilst the Committee hasn't been able to accommodate every stakeholder perspective in the report, we do believe it represents a good balance of principle, prescription and practicality.

Finally I would like to thank the former Chair, Mr Mark Coure, for his substantial input into this review before taking up the role of Parliamentary Secretary; and all of the Committee's

members past and present for their determination to work together to deliver the best in ethical standards.

Jan-

Mark Taylor MP Chair

List of Findings and Recommendations

RECOMMENDATION 1	20

The Committee recommends that the Leader of the House moves that the House adopt the draft *Code of Conduct for Members* as set out in Chapter One of this report.

Chapter One – A revised Members' Code of Conduct

CODE OF CONDUCT FOR MEMBERS

That this House adopt, for the purposes of section 9 of the *Independent Commission Against Corruption Act 1988*, the following Code of Conduct—

PREAMBLE

Members of Parliament acknowledge their responsibility to maintain the public trust placed in them by performing their duties with honesty and integrity, respecting the law and the institution and conventions of Parliament, and using their influence to advance the common good of the people of New South Wales.

THE CODE

1 Purpose of the Code

The purpose of this Code of Conduct is to assist all Members in the discharge of their parliamentary duties and obligations to the House, their electorates and the people of NSW.

The Code applies to Members in all aspects of their public life. It does not apply to Members in their purely private and personal lives.

In complying with this Code, Members shall base their conduct on a consideration of the public interest, avoiding conflict between personal interest and their duties as a Member of Parliament.

Members will not act dishonestly for their own personal gain, or that of another person.

It is recognised that some members are non-aligned and others belong to political parties. Organised political parties are a fundamental part of the democratic process. Participation in the activities of organised political parties is within the legitimate activities of Members of Parliament and will not be a breach of this Code of Conduct.

PROPER EXERCISE OF POWER

2 Improper influence

- (a) No member shall act as a paid advocate in any proceeding of the House or its committees.
- (b) A Member must not knowingly and improperly promote any matter, vote on any bill or resolution or ask any question in the Parliament or its Committees in return for any remuneration, fee, payment, reward or benefit in kind, of a private nature, which any of the following persons has received, is receiving or expects to receive as a consequence:

- (i) The Member;
- (ii) A member of the Member's family;
- (iii) A business associate of the Member; or
- (iv) Any other person or entity from whom the Member expects to receive a financial benefit.
- (c) A Member must not knowingly and improperly use his or her influence as a Member to seek to affect a decision by a public official including a Minister, public sector employee, statutory officer or public body, to further, directly or indirectly, the private interests of the Member, a member of the Member's family, or a business associate of the Member.

3 Use of public resources

The use of public resources should not knowingly confer any undue private benefit on the Member or, on any other person, or entity.

Members must take reasonable steps to apply the public resources to which they are granted access according to any guidelines or rules about the use of those resources.

Commentary

There is a range of information available to Members to assist them in determining the accurate and appropriate use of resources including:

- The Legislative Assembly Members' Guide;
- The Legislative Council Members' Guide;
- The Department of Parliamentary Services Members' Entitlements Handbook;
 and
- The Parliamentary Remuneration Tribunal's Annual Report and Determination of Additional Entitlements for Members of the Parliament of New South Wales.

In addition it is open to any Member to seek advice on these matters from the Clerks of the House, Senior Parliamentary Officers, or the Parliamentary Ethics Adviser.

4 Use of confidential information

Information which Members receive in confidence in the course of their parliamentary duties should be used only in connection with those duties. It must never be knowingly and improperly used for the private benefit of themselves or any other person or persons.

5 Limitation on breach of Code

This code is not breached by reason of a benefit or interest that could be or was advanced or received by the persons set out in 2(b)(i)-(iv) by reason of them being a member of the public or a member of a broad class.

2

OPENESS AND ACCOUNTABILITY

6 Disclosure of interests

Members shall fulfil conscientiously the requirements of the House in respect of the Register of Disclosures by Members. They shall always be open and frank in taking reasonable steps to draw attention to any relevant interest in any proceeding of the House or its Committees, and in any communications with Ministers, Members, public officials or public office holders.

A conflict of interest does not exist where the Member is only affected as a member of the public or a member of a broad class.

Commentary

The Constitution (Disclosures by Members) Regulation 1983 (the Regulation) requires that Members lodge regular returns, disclosing certain interests such as real property, interests and positions in corporations, income, debts and gifts.

The Regulation also requires that each Clerk compile and maintain a Register of Disclosures for their respective Houses. The purpose of the Register of Disclosures is to promote greater transparency, openness, and accountability in the parliamentary process.

Members' attention is drawn to the following sources of information and advice on compliance with the requirements of the Regulation:

- Schedule 1 of the Regulation outlines the requirements for each type of interest to be disclosed, and gives examples as to how to make entries on the return;
- The respective guides for Members of the Legislative Assembly and the Legislative Council explain the requirements of the pecuniary interest disclosure regime in plain language, with examples where possible; and
- It is also open to any Member to seek advice on these matters from the Clerks of the House or the Parliamentary Ethics Adviser.

In conjunction with the Regulation and this code, the following Standing Orders apply in relation to personal or pecuniary interests:

- Legislative Assembly Standing Orders 176-7 and Legislative Council Standing Order 113(2) on voting in divisions; and
- Legislative Assembly Standing Order 276 and Legislative Council Standing Order 210(10) on participating in committee inquiries.

7 Conflicts of interest

Members must take reasonable steps to avoid, resolve or disclose any conflict between their private interests and the public interest. The public interest is always to be favoured over any private interest of the Member.

A conflict of interest does not exist where the Member is only affected as a member of the public or a member of a broad class.

Commentary

Members should be aware of the important distinction between disclosing an interest and having a conflict of interest.

There are certain pecuniary interests that must be disclosed on the Register of Disclosures although these may never come into conflict with a Members' duties. There are also interests that are not required to be disclosed on the Register of Disclosures but which could give rise to a conflict of interest if they are not managed appropriately.

It is open to any Member to seek advice on these matters from the Clerks of the House or the Parliamentary Ethics Adviser.

8 Gifts

- (a) Members must take reasonable steps to disclose all gifts and benefits received in connection with their official duties, in accordance with the requirements for the disclosure of pecuniary interests.
- (b) Members must not knowingly accept gifts that could reasonably be expected to give rise to a conflict of interest or could reasonably be perceived as an attempt to improperly influence the Member in the exercise of his or her duties.
- (c) Nothing in this Code precludes the giving or accepting of political donations in accordance with the *Electoral Funding Act 2018*.

Commentary

The Constitution (Disclosures by Members) Regulation 1983 (the Regulation) requires that Members lodge regular returns, disclosing certain interests such as real property, interests and positions in corporations, income, debts and gifts.

The Regulation also requires that each Clerk compile and maintain a Register of Disclosures for their respective Houses. The purpose of the Register of Disclosures is to promote greater transparency, openness, and accountability in the parliamentary process.

Members' attention is drawn to the following sources of information and advice on compliance with the requirements of the Regulation:

- Schedule 1 of the Regulation outlines the requirements for each type of interest to be disclosed, and gives examples as to how to make entries on the return;
- The respective guides for Members of the Legislative Assembly and the Legislative Council explain the requirements of the pecuniary interest disclosure regime in plain language, with examples where possible; and
- It is also open to any Member to seek advice on these matters from the Clerks of the House or the Parliamentary Ethics Adviser.

UPHOLDING THE CODE

9 Upholding the Code

Members have a duty to cooperate fully with any processes under the authority of the House concerning compliance with this Code.

Breaches of this Code may result in sanctions being imposed upon a Member by the House. A substantial breach of the Code may constitute corrupt conduct for the purposes of the *Independent Commission Against Corruption Act 1988*.

This resolution has continuing effect unless and until amended or rescinded by resolution of the House.

Chapter Two – Why revise the Code in this way?

2.1 This chapter looks at the Code which is currently in force (reprinted in full in Appendix One) and explains why the Committee recommends it be replaced with the draft Code set out in Chapter One of this report.

The Preamble to the Code

2.2 This currently reads as follows:

PREAMBLE

The Members of the Legislative Assembly and the Legislative Council have reached agreement on a Code of Conduct which is to apply to all Members of Parliament.

Members of Parliament recognise that they are in a unique position of being responsible to the electorate. The electorate has the right to dismiss them from office at regular elections.

Members of Parliament acknowledge their responsibility to maintain the public trust placed in them by performing their duties with honesty and integrity, respecting the law and the institution of Parliament, and using their influence to advance the common good of the people of New South Wales.

Members of Parliament acknowledge that their principal responsibility in serving as Members is to the people of New South Wales.

- 2.3 The first paragraph of the preamble states that it is a result of an agreement between the Houses and that it applies to all Members of Parliament. This seems to be unnecessary procedural context where there could be an opening statement of greater impact and purpose.
- 2.4 The second paragraph also seems superfluous as both Members and the public understand our system of representative democracy. More importantly, the paragraph seems to make an unfortunate connection between the results of elections and Member misconduct.
- 2.5 A Member losing their seat at an election may do so for any number of reasons. It should not be implied that the loss of a seat is necessarily connected to a breach of the Code.
- 2.6 Nor should the re-election of a Member, who is alleged to have breached the Code, be seen as the electorate having arbitrated favourably on the alleged breach.¹

-

¹ See for example the comments of the former NSW Auditor-General at p7 of the Legislative Council Privileges Committee <u>Review of the Members' Code of Conduct 2010</u>

- 2.7 The third paragraph, like its predecessors, is quite contextual. However this time it is useful context in the form of a well-crafted statement that embodies the key principles of any ethical framework. It should be retained as the preamble.
- 2.8 The fourth and final paragraph of the preamble states that a Member's principal responsibility is to serve the people of New South Wales. Rather than be part of the preamble this recognition of the need to put public interest before private gain could be an actual clause in the Code (see the proposed clause on the Code's purpose).
- 2.9 So the preamble should be amended by deleting paragraphs 1,2 and 4. Paragraph 3 would be retained so that it reads:

PREAMBLE

Members of Parliament acknowledge their responsibility to maintain the public trust placed in them by performing their duties with honesty and integrity, respecting the law and the institution and conventions of Parliament, and using their influence to advance the common good of the people of New South Wales.

2.10 Note the addition of the word "conventions" in line 3 to include parliamentary customs.

The structure of the Code

- 2.11 The current Code is a list of clauses without any coherent structure. Grouping the Code's clauses under a series of sub-headings could make it a more effective and user friendly document.
- The clauses which address misconduct could be grouped together under an appropriate sub-heading such as "Proper exercise of power". Those clauses addressing the need for transparency (disclosure of conflicts of interest and gifts) could be grouped together under the appropriate sub-heading of "Openness and accountability".
- 2.13 There should also be clause at the end of the Code setting out a Member's duties under the sub-heading of "Upholding the Code".
- 2.14 Bringing relevant clauses together under explanatory headings can send a clearer message about how the Code works at both a preventative and an enforcement level.

Stating the purpose of the Code

2.15 The preamble to the current Code acknowledges the responsibilities which Members have to their electorates and to the people of New South Wales. This is then followed by the series of clauses which deal with the specifics of conduct: conflicts of interest; bribery; gifts; use of public resources; use of confidential information; duties as a Member of Parliament; and secondary employment or engagements.

- 2.16 These clauses, which are prescriptive in nature and are designed to define corruption, lack the kind of value statement which is easily understood by Members and the public whom they serve.
- 2.17 By way of example, the Committee notes the broad ethical guidance provided by Clause 6 of the NSW Ministerial Code of Conduct:

Duty to act honestly and in the public interest

A Minister, in the exercise or performance of their official functions, must not act dishonestly, must act only in what they consider to be the public interest, and must not act improperly for their private benefit or for the private benefit of any other person.²

2.18 A new Clause 1 should be inserted into the Code to set an aspirational tone and act as the ethical yardstick for all that follows. This would be a simple guiding principle for Members which they can apply to any ethical dilemmas which might arise:

Purpose of the Code

The purpose of this Code of Conduct is to assist all Members in the discharge of their parliamentary duties and obligations to the House, their electorates and the people of NSW.

The Code applies to Members in all aspects of their public life. It does not apply to Members in their purely private and personal lives.

In complying with this Code, Members shall base their conduct on a consideration of the public interest, avoiding conflict between personal interest and their duties as a Member of Parliament.

Members will not act dishonestly for their own personal gain, or that of another person.

It is recognised that some members are non-aligned and others belong to political parties. Organised political parties are a fundamental part of the democratic process. Participation in the activities of organised political parties is within the legitimate activities of Members of Parliament and will not be a breach of this Code of Conduct.

- 2.19 Note that the final paragraph of the proposed new Clause 1 incorporates Clause 6 of the current Code entitled "Duties of a Member of Parliament". This clause has been moved, because when setting out the scope and intent of the Code, it is important to make it clear that party activities are a legitimate part of the democratic process.
- 2.20 The wording has then been amended to put beyond any doubt that a Member's participation in the activities of organised political parties is not a breach of the Code.

² NSW Ministerial Code of Conduct http://www.legislation.nsw.gov.au/#/view/regulation/2010/211/app1 Current version for 20 September 2014 to date (accessed 8 June 2018)

Disclosure of conflict of interest

- 2.21 The current clause reads as follows:
 - 1 Disclosure of conflict of interest
 - (a) Members of Parliament must take all reasonable steps to declare any conflict of interest between their private financial interests and decisions in which they participate in the execution of their office.
 - (b) This may be done through declaring their interests on the Register of Disclosures of the relevant House or through declaring their interest when speaking on the matter in the House or a Committee, or in any other public and appropriate manner.
 - (c) A conflict of interest does not exist where the Member is only affected as a member of the public or a member of a broad class.
- 2.22 The title and structure of the current clause is misleading because disclosing an interest and having a conflict of interest are two separate things.
- 2.23 A disclosure process does enable conflicts of interest to be identified and managed where they arise; but equally a Member's private interests may never come into conflict with their public duty and these two issues should not be confused.
- 2.24 So two separate clauses may help to draw out this important distinction. One to address the requirements to disclose certain interests and one to address the necessity of appropriately managing any conflict of interest arising. A commentary section in the Code below each of those clauses could then offer some practical guidance and sources of advice.
- 2.25 The new disclosure of interests clause would also specify that a Member's communications with Ministers, Members, public officials or public office holders on a particular matter should draw attention to any relevant interest the Member holds.
- 2.26 This would keep the disclosure clause consistent with the proposed new clause on improper influence (see the next section of this report).
- 2.27 In its discussion paper of March 2018, the Committee had originally proposed that line two of paragraph 1 on conflicts of interest should read "This should always be done in favour of the public interest".
- 2.28 However following consultations with Mr Alister Henskens SC MP, the Committee accepts that this is better expressed by the following sentence:

The public interest is always to be favoured over any private interest of the Member.

- 2.29 The revised clauses would then read as follows:
 - 6 Disclosure of interests

Members shall fulfil conscientiously the requirements of the House in respect of the Register of Disclosures by Members. They shall always be open and frank in taking reasonable steps to draw attention to any relevant interest in any proceeding of the House or its Committees, and in any communications with Ministers, Members, public officials or public office holders.

A conflict of interest does not exist where the Member is only affected as a member of the public or a member of a broad class.

Commentary

The Constitution (Disclosures by Members) Regulation 1983 (the Regulation) requires that Members lodge regular returns, disclosing certain interests such as real property, interests and positions in corporations, income, debts and gifts.

The Regulation also requires that each Clerk compile and maintain a Register of Disclosures for their respective Houses. The purpose of the Register of Disclosures is to promote greater transparency, openness, and accountability in the parliamentary process.

Members' attention is drawn to the following sources of information and advice on compliance with the requirements of the Regulation:

- Schedule 1 of the Regulation outlines the requirements for each type of interest to be disclosed, and gives examples as to how to make entries on the return;
- The respective guides for Members of the Legislative Assembly and the Legislative Council explain the requirements of the pecuniary interest disclosure regime in plain language, with examples where possible; and
- It is also open to any Member to seek advice on these matters from the Clerks of the House or the Parliamentary Ethics Adviser.

In conjunction with the Regulation and this code, the following Standing Orders apply in relation to personal or pecuniary interests:

- Legislative Assembly Standing Orders 176-7 and Legislative Council Standing Order 113(2) on voting in divisions; and
- Legislative Assembly Standing Order 276 and Legislative Council Standing Order 210(10) on participating in committee inquiries.

7 Conflicts of interest

Members must take reasonable steps to avoid, resolve or disclose any conflict between their private interests and the public interest. The public interest is always to be favoured over any private interest of the Member.

A conflict of interest does not exist where the Member is only affected as a member of the public or a member of a broad class.

Commentary

Members should be aware of the important distinction between disclosing an interest and having a conflict of interest.

There are certain pecuniary interests that must be disclosed on the Register of Disclosures although these may never come into conflict with a Members' duties. There are also interests that are not required to be disclosed on the Register of Disclosures but which could give rise to a conflict of interest if they are not managed appropriately.

It is open to any Member to seek advice on these matters from the Clerks of the House or the Parliamentary Ethics Adviser.

- 2.30 The question of whether a Member should disclose the interests of third parties (e.g. family members) is an issue which the Committee will address when it reviews the Pecuniary Interests Regulation.
- 2.31 The Regulation is the appropriate instrument for governing the disclosures regime. The Code is the appropriate document to draw all of the elements of the ethics framework together in a set of broad principles.
- Accordingly in the revised code set out in Chapter 1, reference is made to a Member fulfilling "conscientiously the requirements of the House in respect of the Register of Disclosures by Members" and always being "open and frank in taking reasonable steps to draw attention to any relevant interest" but the detail of what would be declared should remain in the Regulation.

Bribery

2.33 The bribery clause in the current Code prohibits a Member from knowingly engaging in paid advocacy whilst participating in activities of the Parliament and its committees. It reads as follows:

2 Bribery

- (a) A Member must not knowingly or improperly promote any matter, vote on any bill or resolution or ask any question in the Parliament or its Committees in return for any remuneration, fee, payment, reward or benefit in kind, of a private nature, which the Member has received, is receiving or expects to receive.
- (b) A Member must not knowingly or improperly promote any matter, vote on any bill or resolution or ask any question in the Parliament or its Committees in return for any remuneration, fee, payment, reward or benefit in kind, of a private nature, which any of the following persons has received, is receiving or expects to receive:
 - (i) A member of the Member's family;
 - (ii) A business associate of the Member; or
 - (iii) Any other person or entity from whom the Member expects to receive a financial benefit.
- (c) A breach of the prohibition on bribery constitutes a substantial breach of this Code of Conduct.

- 2.34 This clause would benefit from the insertion of a simple opening statement such as "No Member shall act as a paid advocate in any proceeding of the House or its committees".
- 2.35 This should then be followed by retaining the existing sub-clauses which describe the activities which would constitute a breach of the code. However inserting "and" instead of "or" so that it reads "A Member must not knowingly and improperly" to 2(b) could make it clear that a breach of the Code is where a Member knowingly seeks to exert improper influence.
- 2.36 As there is some repetition in the current sub-clauses (a) and (b) they could be combined so as to make a more concise provision. The insertion of the words "as a consequence" after the words "expects to receive" would also give it greater clarity.
- 2.37 A further matter for this section of the Code, concerns any Member who might attempt to influence a ministerial or bureaucratic decision in favour of their private interests, or those of their family and associates.
- 2.38 This issue was raised by ICAC and was considered by the privileges committees of both Houses in the last parliament. In its 2014 report, the Committee noted that the scope of the Code's bribery clause did not extend to "improper influence", that is the promotion of matters to public officials outside of Parliament for private gain.³
- 2.39 The Committee's report recommended that there should be a prohibition on Members seeking to improperly influence decisions by public officials. The Legislative Council Privileges Committee report of 2014 made a very similar recommendation though it differed slightly in construction to that of the Assembly committee.
- 2.40 The 2014 Assembly Committee report proposed the following clause be inserted:

A Member must not improperly use his or her influence as a member to seek to affect a decision by a public official including a minister, public sector employee, statutory officer or public body, to further, directly or indirectly, the private interests of the member, a member of the member's family, or a business associate of the member.

- 2.41 Consideration could be given to taking up this important recommendation. The addition of the word "knowingly" so that it read "A Member must not knowingly and improperly promote any matter" would make this sub-clause consistent with the rest of the clause.
- This proposed sub-clause could then replace clause 2(c) of the current bribery clause which states that "A breach of the prohibition on bribery constitutes a substantial breach of this Code of Conduct".

_

³ Legislative Assembly Privilege and Ethics Committee <u>Final Report, Inquiry into matters arising from the ICAC Report entitled "Reducing the opportunities and incentives for corruption in the State's management of coal resources p.vii. See also Legislative Council Privileges Committee <u>Final Report, Report No. 70: Recommendations of the ICAC regarding aspects of the Code of Conduct for Members, the interest disclosure regime and a parliamentary investigator</u></u>

- 2.43 This sub-clause appears to be superfluous because <u>any</u> substantial breach of the Code may constitute corrupt conduct for the purposes of *the Independent Commission Against Corruption Act 1988*. There is no need to emphasise that for one clause and not the others.
- 2.44 A new clause on "Upholding the Code" (see below) would make it abundantly clear that either Parliament or ICAC will be responsible for enforcing all of the Code's provisions.
- The heading of Clause 2 should be changed from "Bribery" to "Improper Influence".
- 2.46 This might be a more accurate description of the unethical activities which the clause prohibits and it would avoid any confusion with the broader criminal offence of bribery. That criminal offence would not need to be established in order for there to be a breach of Clause 2 of the Code.
- 2.47 The revised clause would then read as follows:
 - 2 Improper influence
 - (a) No member shall act as a paid advocate in any proceeding of the House or its committees.
 - (b) A Member must not knowingly and improperly promote any matter, vote on any bill or resolution or ask any question in the Parliament or its Committees in return for any remuneration, fee, payment, reward or benefit in kind, of a private nature, which or any of the following persons has received, is receiving or expects to receive as a consequence:
 - (i) The Member;
 - (ii) A member of the Member's family;
 - (iii) A business associate of the Member; or
 - (iv) Any other person or entity from whom the Member expects to receive a financial benefit.
 - (c) A Member must not knowingly and improperly use his or her influence as a Member to seek to affect a decision by a public official including a Minister, public sector employee, statutory officer or public body, to further, directly or indirectly, the private interests of the Member, a member of the Member's family, or a business associate of the Member.
- 2.48 Finally clarification should be provided that the Code is not breached by reason of a benefit accruing to a person by reason of them being a member of the public or a member of a broad class. This would ensure consistency with Clause 6 "Disclosure of interests".

In its discussion paper of March 2018, the Committee had originally proposed that this be done by way of the following paragraph in the Improper influence clause:

This code is not breached by reason of a benefit being received by the persons set out in 2(b)(i)-(iv) by reason of them being a member of the public or a member of a broad class.

- 2.50 However following consultations with Mr Alister Henskens SC MP, the Committee was persuaded that such a limitation on the scope of the Code would stand out better as a clause in its own right:
 - 5 Limitation on breach of Code

This code is not breached by reason of a benefit or interest that could be or was advanced or received by the persons set out in 2(b)(i)-(iv) by reason of them being a member of the public or a member of a broad class.

Gifts

- 2.51 The current gifts clause reads as follows:
 - 3 Gifts
 - (a) Members must declare all gifts and benefits received in connection with their official duties, in accordance with the requirements for the disclosure of pecuniary interests.
 - (b) Members must not accept gifts that may pose a conflict of interest or which might give the appearance of an attempt to improperly influence the Member in the exercise of his or her duties.
 - (c) Members may accept political contributions in accordance with part 6 of the *Election Funding Act 1981*.
- 2.52 The gifts clause is part prescriptive in nature with its references to the requirements to comply with disclosure regulations and with electoral law.
- 2.53 It is also part principle in clearly expressing an ethic that a Member should not accept a gift that may pose, or appear to pose, a conflict of interest between their public duty and their private interests.
- 2.54 This seems an appropriate balance between explaining the ethics in relation to gifts and referencing the regulatory requirements on declarations.
- 2.55 However sub-clause 3(a) could be restructured to make it more consistent with the proposed clause on disclosure of conflicts of interest by requiring Members to take "reasonable steps" when declaring gifts.
- 2.56 Similarly sub-clause 3(b) could be more consistent with the proposed clause on Improper Influence, by making it clear that a breach of the Code is where a Member knowingly accepts a benefit which might conflict with the exercise of their duties.

- 2.57 At sub-clause 3(c) reference is made to Members accepting political contributions in accordance with Part 6 of the *Election Funding Act 1981*. The relevant legislation is now the *Electoral Funding Act 2018* and this should be updated.
- 2.58 A commentary section below the clause could provide useful guidance by referencing relevant sources for rules and advice.
- 2.59 The revised clause would then read as follows:
 - 8 Gifts
 - (a) Members must take reasonable steps to disclose all gifts and benefits received in connection with their official duties, in accordance with the requirements for the disclosure of pecuniary interests.
 - (b) Members must not knowingly accept gifts that could reasonably be expected to give rise to a conflict of interest or could reasonably be perceived as an attempt to improperly influence the Member in the exercise of his or her duties.
 - (c) Nothing in this Code precludes the giving or accepting of political donations in accordance with the *Electoral Funding Act 2018*.

Commentary

The Constitution (Disclosures by Members) Regulation 1983 (the Regulation) requires that Members lodge regular returns, disclosing certain interests such as real or personal property, income, debts and gifts.

The Regulation also requires that each Clerk compile and maintain a Register of Disclosures for their respective Houses. The purpose of the Register of Disclosures is to promote greater transparency, openness, and accountability in the parliamentary process.

Members' attention is drawn to the following sources of information and advice on compliance with the requirements of the Regulation:

- Schedule 1 of the Regulation outlines the requirements for each type of interest to be disclosed, and gives examples as to how to make entries on the return:
- The respective guides for Members of the Legislative Assembly and the Legislative Council explain the requirements of the pecuniary interest disclosure regime in plain language, with examples where possible; and
- It is also open to any Member to seek advice on these matters from the Clerks of the House or the Parliamentary Ethics Adviser.

Use of public resources

- 2.60 The current clause on the use of public resources reads as follows:
 - 4 Use of public resources

Members must apply the public resources to which they are granted access according to any guidelines or rules about the use of those resources.

2.61 This clause should be improved upon by firstly setting out the general principle that there should be no conflict between a Member's personal interests and their public duty:

Use of public resources

The use of public resources should not knowingly confer any undue private benefit on the Member or, on any other person, or entity.

2.62 This general principle should then be followed by a reference to the fact that there are specific rules that govern the use of public resources:

Members must take reasonable steps to apply the public resources to which they are granted access according to any guidelines or rules about the use of those resources.

- 2.63 Finally a commentary section would refer Members to the relevant sources of guidance and advice, so that it read as follows:
 - 3 Use of public resources

The use of public resources should not knowingly confer any undue private benefit on the Member or, on any other person, or entity.

Members must take reasonable steps to apply the public resources to which they are granted access according to any guidelines or rules about the use of those resources.

Commentary

There is a range of information available to Members to assist them in determining the accurate and appropriate use of resources including:

- The Legislative Assembly Members' Guide;
- The Legislative Council Members' Guide;
- The Department of Parliamentary Services Members' Entitlements Handbook;
 and
- The Parliamentary Remuneration Tribunal's Annual Report and Determination of Additional Entitlements for Members of the Parliament of New South Wales.

In addition it is open to any Member to seek advice on these matters from the Clerks of the House, Senior Parliamentary Officers, or the Parliamentary Ethics Adviser.

Use of confidential information

- 2.64 The current clause on the use of confidential information reads as follows:
 - 5 Use of confidential information

Members must not knowingly and improperly use official information which is not in the public domain, or information obtained in confidence in the course of their parliamentary duties, for the private benefit of themselves or others. 2.65 This clause could be redrafted to give it more fluency and context which would emphasise the essential ethical principle. For example:

Information which Members receive in confidence in the course of their parliamentary duties should be used only in connection with those duties. It must never be knowingly and improperly used for the private benefit of themselves or any other person or persons.

Duties of a Member of Parliament

- 2.66 In the current Code the clause on the duties of a Member reads as follows:
 - 6 Duties as a Member of Parliament

It is recognised that some members are non-aligned and others belong to political parties. Organised parties are a fundamental part of the democratic process and participation in their activities is within the legitimate activities of Members of Parliament.

2.67 As noted above, it is proposed that this clause be transferred into the proposed new Clause 1 which sets out the Code's purpose.

Secondary Employment or engagements

- 2.68 In the current Code the clause on secondary employment reads as follows:
 - 7 Secondary employment or engagements

Members must take all reasonable steps to disclose at the start of a parliamentary debate:

- the identity of any person by whom they are employed or engaged or by whom they were employed or engaged in the last two years (but not if it was before the Member was sworn in as a Member);
- the identity of any client of any such person or any former client who benefited from a Member's services within the previous two years (but not if it was before the Member was sworn in as a Member);
 and
- (c) the nature of the interest held by the person, client or former client in the parliamentary debate.

This obligation only applies if the Member is aware, or ought to be aware, that the person, client or former client may have an interest in the parliamentary debate which goes beyond the general interest of the public.

This disclosure obligation does not apply if a Member simply votes on a matter; it will only apply when he or she participates in a debate. If the Member has already disclosed the information in the Member's entry in the pecuniary interest register, he or she is not required to make a further disclosure during the parliamentary debate.

- 2.69 The clause regarding secondary employment was inserted in 2007, following concerns about the adequacy of the provisions of the Code regarding forms of secondary employment and the extent to which they may conflict, or appear to conflict with a Members' parliamentary duties.
- 2.70 This section considers whether that specific provision would be still be necessary in a revised code.
- 2.71 In terms of the broad principle, it has already been discussed whether a new disclosures clause should be amended to clearly express the ethic that Members should be frank and open about any relevant interests with reference to the requirements of the House and the Register of Disclosures.
- 2.72 If the Code were amended to insert that new disclosures clause, the Secondary Employment clause would appear superfluous. This is because the Secondary Employment clause would largely repeat an existing requirement to disclose a relevant interest.
- 2.73 The relevant section in the new disclosures clause would be that:

[Members]...shall always be open and frank in taking reasonable steps to draw attention to any relevant interest in any proceeding of the House or its Committees

- 2.74 This revised clause would operate in conjunction with the Standing Orders and the Constitution (Disclosures by Members) Regulation 1983. The Standing Orders prohibit a member from voting on any question in which they have a direct pecuniary interest not held in common with other citizens of the State (see Standing Orders 176-77 and 276 in relation to Committees). There are equivalent provisions in Legislative Council Standing Orders e.g. 113(2) on voting in divisions.
- 2.75 The Regulation requires that Members declare sources of income and any services which a Member might provide to clients which involve the use of the Member's parliamentary position.
- 2.76 Collectively the new disclosures clause, the Standing Orders and the Regulation would appear sufficient to cover the issue of secondary employment or engagements and a separate clause on that specific issue seems unnecessary.
- 2.77 In its forthcoming review of the *Constitution (Disclosure by Members) Regulation*1983 the Committee will give consideration to clauses 7A (Use of Member's parliamentary position to provide services) and 15A (Provision of client services) to ensure consistency.

A new clause on limitation on breaches of the Code

2.78 As discussed in the section above relating to bribery, clarification should be provided that the Code is not breached by reason of a benefit accruing to a person by reason of them being a member of the public or a member of a broad class. This would ensure consistency with Clause 6 "Disclosure of interests".

2.79 In its discussion paper of March 2018, the Committee had originally proposed that this be done by way of the following paragraph in the Improper influence clause:

This code is not breached by reason of a benefit being received by the persons set out in 2(b)(i)-(iv) by reason of them being a member of the public or a member of a broad class.

- 2.80 However following stakeholder feedback from Mr Alister Henskens SC MP, the Committee was persuaded that such a limitation on the scope of the Code would be better presented as a clause in its own right. This would appear as a new Clause 5:
 - 5 Limitation on breach of Code

This code is not breached by reason of a benefit or interest that could be or was advanced or received by the persons set out in 2(b)(i)-(iv) by reason of them being a member of the public or a member of a broad class.

A new clause on cooperating with investigations

- 2.81 The current Code commences with the statement that it has been adopted for the purposes of Section 9 of the *Independent Commission Against Corruption Act* 1988.
- 2.82 The proposed new Code makes it clear, that what this means is that a <u>substantial</u> breach of the Code can, as a consequence amount to corrupt conduct which may be investigated by ICAC. This explanation is important, so that the Code is rightly seen as setting the standards and showing that there is a means of enforcing those standards.
- Here the Committee notes the preamble to the NSW Ministerial Code of Conduct at paragraph 9:
 - 9 A substantial breach of the NSW Ministerial Code of Conduct (including a knowing breach of any provision of the Schedule) may constitute corrupt conduct for the purposes of the <u>Independent Commission Against</u>

 Corruption Act 1988.⁴
- Other breaches of the Code, which do not fall within the scope of Section 9, may be investigated by the Parliament. Therefore it seems important that the revised Code recognises this and requires Members to co-operate fully with any parliamentary processes and make it clear and that where a Member is found to have breached the Code there may be sanctions imposed by the Houses.
- 2.85 The proposed clause should then read as follows:
 - 9 Upholding the Code

Members have a duty to cooperate fully with any processes under the authority of the House concerning compliance with this Code.

⁴ Ibid.

Breaches of this Code may result in sanctions being imposed upon a Member by the House. A substantial breach of the Code may constitute corrupt conduct for the purposes of the *Independent Commission Against Corruption Act 1988*.

This resolution has continuing effect unless and until amended or rescinded by resolution of the House.

Implementation of the Code

- 2.86 Parliamentary codes of conduct may be established by a resolution of the House or by an act of parliament. In NSW, to date, the Code has always been adopted by resolution.
- 2.87 It seems entirely appropriate that Members should continue to adopt the Code by resolution given the constitutional importance of Parliament retaining control over its own internal affairs (which includes setting standards for its membership).
- 2.88 Establishing the Code by resolution also provides essential flexibility and responsiveness because it can be changed as needed without the need to pass legislation.

RECOMMENDATION 1

The Committee recommends that the Leader of the House moves that the House adopt the draft *Code of Conduct for Members* as set out in Chapter One of this report.

ACCOMPANYING GUIDANCE

- 2.89 While a code that emphasises the principles of ethical conduct has the advantage of being easily understood by Members and the wider community; the disadvantages can be that this leaves a lot of scope for differences in interpretation and judgement.
- 2.90 This disadvantage can be offset by offering detailed guidance on how these broad principles apply to the day to day work of a Member of Parliament.

How the principles are applied in the UK model

- 2.91 In the case of the UK House of Commons, the Code is printed together with a Guide to the Rules relating to the Conduct of Members.
- The Guide and amendments to it are approved by means of resolutions of the House of Commons and therefore carry the authority of the House.
- 2.93 The Guide which is currently around 50 pages in length provides more detailed guidance on matters such as registration, declaration of interests and the prohibition of paid advocacy.⁵

⁵ House of Commons, *The Code of Conduct together with The Guide to the Rules relating to the Conduct of Members*, April 2015. At: http://www.publications.parliament.uk/pa/cm201516/cmcode/1076/107601.htm

- The Code and the Guide together form three distinct tiers. Firstly the broad "General Principles" in Part IV of the Code; then the "Rules of Conduct" in Part V that guide the application of those principles to the behaviour of Members; and finally the third tier which is the Guide. The Guide provides specific instructions applicable to Members' day to day conduct.
- 2.95 For example, where Paragraph 13 of the Code of Conduct provides that:
 - 13. Members shall fulfil conscientiously the requirements of the House in respect of the registration of interests in the Register of Members' Financial Interests. They shall always be open and frank in drawing attention to any relevant interest in any proceeding of the House or its Committees, and in any communications with Ministers, Members, public officials or public office holders.
- 2.96 The Guide explains the principles behind registration and declaration of Members' interests. Next it sets out the requirements on Members to place information on a Register and the responsibilities of the Parliamentary Commissioner for Standards in maintaining that register.
- 2.97 Finally it sets out the categories in which Members are required to register their financial interests and the information which is to be provided. The Chapter of the Guide on Registration of Members' Financial Interests is currently 18 pages in length.

Current guidance on the NSW Code

- 2.98 In the NSW Parliament the nearest comparator to the UK guide would be the guides produced by each House for their Members⁶. These provide information on the facilities and services available to Members and give guidance on performing parliamentary duties in accordance with the Code of Conduct.
- The guides operate in conjunction with the advisory roles performed by the Clerks and by the Parliamentary Ethics Adviser.
- 2.100 Were the current code to be revised this would provide a timely opportunity for a review of the usefulness of the current guidance for Members.
- 2.101 For example, while there would be obvious pitfalls in attempting to give general advice (given the highly contextual nature of Members' ethics) is there enough in the way of plain English explanations of the requirements and the principles behind them?
- 2.102 Equally are the roles of the Clerks and the Parliamentary Ethics Adviser fully understood or are they in need of further explanation? And is there enough information on the potential consequences of breaching the ethical framework?

⁶ See *Legislative Assembly Members' Guide 2015* and the *Legislative Council Members' Guide 2015* published on the Parliamentary intranet.

Chapter Three – Conduct of the Inquiry

TERMS OF REFERENCE

- 3.1 On 28 October 2015 the Committee resolved to review the Code of Conduct (the Code) for Members, pursuant to s72E (5) of the *Independent Commission Against Corruption Act 1988* (the ICAC Act).
- This section of the ICAC Act requires that the designated committee for parliamentary ethical standards reviews a code of conduct adopted by the Legislative Assembly at least once every 4 years.
- On the 8 August 2016 the Committee resolved to expand its current review of the Code of Conduct to include consideration of the *Constitution (Disclosure by Members) Regulation 1983* which will be the subject of a future report.
- 3.4 The terms of reference can be found on page iii of this report.

CONDUCT OF THE INQUIRY

Stakeholder consultations

- 3.5 The Committee commenced its review of the Code by writing to key stakeholders seeking submissions on whether the Code remained current, or whether it required amendment. Those stakeholders were:
 - The Speaker and Members of the Legislative Assembly;
 - The Parliamentary Ethics Adviser;
 - The Chair of the Legislative Council Privileges Committee;
 - The Department of Premier and Cabinet;
 - · The Auditor-General;
 - The Commissioner of the Independent Commission Against Corruption; and
 - The NSW Ombudsman.
- 3.6 The closing date for stakeholder responses was Monday 1 February 2016.
- 3.7 The Committee received six submissions. The respondents were:
 - The Independent Commission Against Corruption (ICAC);
 - The Audit Office of NSW;
 - Mr Alister Henskens MP, Member for Ku-ring-gai;
 - The Hon. George Souris, former Minister and former Member of the Legislative Assembly;

- The Hon. Matthew Mason-Cox MLC, Chair of the Legislative Council Privileges Committee; and
- Mr John Evans PSM, Parliamentary Ethics Adviser
- 3.8 The Committee resolved to publish all of the stakeholder responses it received on the Committee's

website: https://www.parliament.nsw.gov.au/committees/inquiries/Pages/inquiry-details.aspx?pk=2249

Developing a draft Code

- 3.9 At its meeting of Friday 3 June 2016, the Committee had the opportunity to discuss with stakeholders specific matters which they had raised in their submissions.
- 3.10 The Committee met with Dr Robert Waldersee, Executive Director, Corruption Prevention Division, ICAC; Mr Henskens; and Ms Margaret Crawford, Auditor-General, and Mr Barry Underwood, Executive Officer, Audit Office of NSW.
- 3.11 The Committee continued to correspond with these particular stakeholders throughout the process of developing its draft code. The resulting draft did not necessarily accommodate every perspective but it did, in the Committee's view, represent a good balance of principle, prescription and practicality.

Publication of a discussion paper on the code of conduct

- 3.12 On 13 March 2018, the Chair tabled the Committee's discussion paper on the Review of the Code of Conduct for Members.⁷
- 3.13 The discussion paper was published on the Committee's webpage and notification was sent to all members of the Legislative Assembly and Legislative Council seeking feedback by Monday 16 April 2018.
- The Committee also corresponded with Ms Margaret Crawford, Auditor-General, and Mr Barry Underwood, Executive Officer, Audit Office of New South Wales; Mr John Evans PSM, Parliamentary Ethics Adviser; Mr Alister Henskens SC MP; the Independent Commission Against Corruption; the former Clerk of the Legislative Assembly Ms Ronda Miller; and Dr Robert Waldersee, formerly the Executive Director, Corruption Prevention Division, ICAC; to see if they had any further comments to make on the draft code.
- 3.15 The Committee received three substantive responses to the discussion paper, these being from Mr Alister Henskens SC MP; the former Clerk of the Legislative Assembly Ms Ronda Miller; and Dr Robert Waldersee, formerly the Executive Director, Corruption Prevention Division, ICAC. These responses then informed the Committee's deliberations on the final report.

⁷ Legislative Assembly Privilege and Ethics Committee, <u>Discussion paper: Review of the Code of Conduct for Members</u>

Appendix One – The current code

THE MEMBERS' CODE OF CONDUCT

The New South Wales Legislative Assembly first adopted a Code of Conduct for its Members on 5 May 1998.

The Code provides Members with a set of ethical standards which place great emphasis on honesty and the appropriate management of conflicts of interest. It seeks to guide Members in the sometimes competing spheres of parliament, party and personal.

Critically, it is also the "jurisdictional hook", by which ICAC can investigate a Members' conduct. Under s9 of the Independent Commission Against Corruption Act, a substantial breach of the Code can amount to corrupt conduct which may be investigated by ICAC.

Following its adoption in 1998, the Code of Conduct remained largely unchanged until in 2007 when both Houses adopted changes to the Preamble, Clause 2 ('Bribery') and Clause 3 ('Gifts'), and the insertion of a new provision, Clause 7 ('Secondary employment or engagements').

See Appendix 2 for a table of amendments made to the Code since 1998.

The current Code consists of a preamble outlining members' duties to the public followed by seven clauses dealing with: conflicts of interest; bribery; gifts; use of public resources; use of confidential information; duties as a Member of Parliament; and secondary employment or engagements.

CODE OF CONDUCT FOR MEMBERS

(Adopted 5 May 2015, Votes and Proceedings, pp. 53-5)

That this House adopt, for the purposes of section 9 of the Independent Commission Against Corruption Act 1988, the following Code of Conduct—

PREAMBLE

The Members of the Legislative Assembly and the Legislative Council have reached agreement on a Code of Conduct which is to apply to all Members of Parliament.

Members of Parliament recognise that they are in a unique position of being responsible to the electorate. The electorate has the right to dismiss them from office at regular elections.

Members of Parliament acknowledge their responsibility to maintain the public trust placed in them by performing their duties with honesty and integrity, respecting the law and the institution of Parliament, and using their influence to advance the common good of the people of New South Wales.

Members of Parliament acknowledge that their principal responsibility in serving as Members is to the people of New South Wales.

THE CODE

1 Disclosure of conflict of interest

- (a) Members of Parliament must take all reasonable steps to declare any conflict of interest between their private financial interests and decisions in which they participate in the execution of their office.
- (b) This may be done through declaring their interests on the Register of Disclosures of the relevant House or through declaring their interest when speaking on the matter in the House or a Committee, or in any other public and appropriate manner.
- (c) A conflict of interest does not exist where the Member is only affected as a member of the public or a member of a broad class.

2 Bribery

- (a) A Member must not knowingly or improperly promote any matter, vote on any bill or resolution or ask any question in the Parliament or its Committees in return for any remuneration, fee, payment, reward or benefit in kind, of a private nature, which the Member has received, is receiving or expects to receive.
- (b) A Member must not knowingly or improperly promote any matter, vote on any bill or resolution or ask any question in the Parliament or its Committees in return for any remuneration, fee, payment, reward or benefit in kind, of a private nature, which any of the following persons has received, is receiving or expects to receive:
 - (i) A member of the Member's family;
 - (ii) A business associate of the Member; or
 - (iii) Any other person or entity from whom the Member expects to receive a financial benefit.
- (c) A breach of the prohibition on bribery constitutes a substantial breach of this Code of Conduct.

3 Gifts

- (a) Members must declare all gifts and benefits received in connection with their official duties, in accordance with the requirements for the disclosure of pecuniary interests.
- (b) Members must not accept gifts that may pose a conflict of interest or which might give the appearance of an attempt to improperly influence the Member in the exercise of his or her duties.
- (c) Members may accept political contributions in accordance with part 6 of the *Election Funding Act 1981*.

4 Use of public resources

Members must apply the public resources to which they are granted access according to any guidelines or rules about the use of those resources.

5 Use of confidential information

Members must not knowingly and improperly use official information which is not in the public domain, or information obtained in confidence in the course of their parliamentary duties, for the private benefit of themselves or others.

6 Duties as a Member of Parliament

It is recognised that some members are non-aligned and others belong to political parties. Organised parties are a fundamental part of the democratic process and participation in their activities is within the legitimate activities of Members of Parliament.

7 Secondary employment or engagements

Members must take all reasonable steps to disclose at the start of a parliamentary debate:

- (a) the identity of any person by whom they are employed or engaged or by whom they were employed or engaged in the last two years (but not if it was before the Member was sworn in as a Member);
- (b) the identity of any client of any such person or any former client who benefited from a Member's services within the previous two years (but not if it was before the Member was sworn in as a Member); and
- (c) the nature of the interest held by the person, client or former client in the parliamentary debate.

This obligation only applies if the Member is aware, or ought to be aware, that the person, client or former client may have an interest in the parliamentary debate which goes beyond the general interest of the public.

This disclosure obligation does not apply if a Member simply votes on a matter; it will only apply when he or she participates in a debate. If the Member has already disclosed the information in the Member's entry in the pecuniary interest register, he or she is not required to make a further disclosure during the parliamentary debate.

This resolution has continuing effect unless and until amended or rescinded by resolution of the House.

Appendix Two – Amendments to the Members' Code of conduct since 1998

The Members' Code of Conduct (the Code) was first adopted by the Legislative Assembly on 5 May 1998; it was adopted by the Legislative Council on 1 July 1998.

Date	Amendments made to the Code	Source
May 2006	Assembly only	Votes and Proceedings
	 A revised Clause 2. Insertion of 	25/5/2006 p.66
	"knowingly or improperly" into the	
	bribery clause.	
May/June 2007	Both Houses	Votes and Proceedings
	Preamble	8/5/2007 p. 34-36; and
	Amendment to paragraph two of	LC Minutes 21/6/2007 p.148-152
	the preamble deleting "is the final	<u>0.140-132</u>
	arbiter of the conduct of Members	
	of Parliament" from lines 2 and 3	
	after "The electorate";	
	 Amendment to paragraph three of 	
	the preamble deleting "accordingly"	
	from line 1 after "Members of	
	Parliament"; and	
	 Insertion of a fourth paragraph "Members of Parliament 	
	acknowledge that their principle	
	responsibility in serving as Members	
	is to the people of New South	
	Wales"	
	Clause 2 Bribery	
	Insertion of "knowingly or	
	improperly" in clause 2(a);	
	Expansion of the prohibition on	
	bribery to encompass benefits to	
	third parties in clause 2(b);	
	 Insertion of clause 2(c) which 	
	identifies a breach of the clause as a	
	"substantial breach" of the Code;	
	Clause 3 Gifts	
	 Word "corruptly" replaced with "improperly" 	
	Clause 7 Secondary employment or	
	chance / occorranty chiprogramment of	

Date	Amendments made to the Code	Source
	Addition of a new clause, Clause 7 outlining the requirements for declarations on secondary employment and engagements.	

The current Code of Conduct is the same for both Houses. It is adopted at the start of each Parliament and has continuing effect unless amended or rescinded by resolution.

Appendix Three – Extracts from Minutes

MINUTES OF MEETING NO. 2

4:00pm, Wednesday 28 October 2015, Room 1136, Parliament House

Members Present

Mr Mark Coure MP (Chair), Mr Kevin Anderson MP, Mr Glenn Brookes MP, Mr Kevin Conolly MP, Mr Jai Rowell MP (Deputy Chair)

Officers in Attendance

Ms Helen Minnican, A/Deputy Clerk of the Legislative Assembly, Mr Jonathan Elliott, A/Director- Procedural Research and Training, Ms Laura Ismay, Parliamentary Officer

1. Apologies

Mr Ron Hoenig MP

...

4. Review of the Code of Conduct for Members

Resolved on the motion of Mr Mark Coure, seconded by Mr Glenn Brookes:

That, pursuant to s72E (5) of the Independent Commission Against Corruption Act 1988; the Committee undertake a review of the Code of Conduct for Members; and that the Committee write to the following individuals and organisations to seek their views on whether the Code remains current or whether it requires amendment:

- The Speaker and Members of the Legislative Assembly;
- The Parliamentary Ethics Adviser;
- The Chair of the Legislative Council Privileges Committee;
- The Department of Premier and Cabinet;
- The Auditor General;
- The Commissioner of the Independent Commission Against Corruption;
- The NSW Ombudsman; and
- Former Parliamentarians, the Hon. Richard Amery, the Hon. Don Page, Mr Malcolm Kerr, the Hon. George Souris and Mr Andrew Tink.

•••

MINUTES OF MEETING NO. 3

11:30am, Thursday 17 March 2016 Room 1043, Parliament House

Members Present

Mr Mark Coure MP (Chair), Mr Kevin Anderson MP, Mr Glenn Brookes MP, Mr Kevin Conolly MP, Mr Ron Hoenig MP

Officers in Attendance

Ms Ronda Miller, Clerk of the Legislative Assembly, Mr Jonathan Elliott, A/Director- Procedural Research and Training, Ms Rickee Murray, Parliamentary Officer

1. Apologies

Mr Jai Rowell MP (Deputy Chair)

Resolved, on the motion of Mr Mark Coure, seconded by Mr Glenn Brookes: That the apologies be accepted.

...

4. Review of the Code of Conduct for Members

The Chair referred to the following papers previously circulated to Members:

- a) Background briefing note on the review of the Code of Conduct
- b) Summary of responses received
- c) Responses received:
 - Mr Alister Henskens MP dated 6 November 2015;
 - Hon. George Souris dated 9 November 2015;
 - Hon. Matthew Mason-Cox dated 19 November 2015;
 - Audit Office of New South Wales dated 1 February 2016; and
 - Independent Commission Against Corruption dated 1 February 2016.

Discussion ensued.

The Committee resolved, on the motion of Mr Glenn Brookes and seconded by Mr Kevin Conolly, that all those who made a submission on the review of the Code of Conduct be invited to the next deliberative meeting of the Committee and given the opportunity to brief members on their submissions.

The Committee agreed that the next meeting of the Committee should take place on a Monday during the first two sitting weeks in May. The Committee Secretariat to find a suitable date.

...

MINUTES OF MEETING NO. 4

9:00am, Friday 3 June 2016 Room 1136, Parliament House

Members Present

Mr Mark Coure MP (Chair), Mr Kevin Conolly MP, Mr Ron Hoenig MP, Mr Greg Aplin MP

Officers in Attendance

Ms Ronda Miller, Clerk of the Legislative Assembly, Ms Helen Minnican, Deputy Clerk of the Legislative Assembly, Mr Jonathan Elliott, Director, Procedural Research and Training, Ms Rickee Murray, Parliamentary Officer

1. Apologies

Mr Jai Rowell MP (Deputy Chair), Mr Adam Marshall MP

The Chair advised of the appointments to the Committee of Mr Greg Aplin MP and Mr Adam Marshall MP, replacing Mr Glenn Brookes MP and Mr Kevin Anderson MP.

•••

3. Papers

- a. Members' Briefing Note 'Principles or Rules: Structuring Codes of Conduct'
- b. Updated summary of responses received
- c. Submission received from the Parliamentary Ethics Adviser

Resolved, on the motion of Mr Greg Aplin, seconded by Mr Kevin Conolly: That the Committee note the previously circulated papers for reference during the course of their Review.

4. Meetings with stakeholders who contributed to the Review of the Code of Conduct for Members

Resolved, on the motion of Mr Kevin Connolly, seconded by Mr Greg Aplin: That the Committee authorise the following persons to attend the Committee's meeting pursuant to Standing Order 295(1).

- Dr Robert Waldersee, ICAC, appeared before the Committee at 9.28am and discussions ensued. Dr Waldersee withdrew at 10.15am.
- Mr Alister Henskens MP, Member for Ku-ring-gai, appeared before the Committee at 10.20am and discussion ensued. Mr Henskens withdrew at 11.02am.
- Ms Margaret Crawford, Auditor-General, and Mr Barry Underwood, Audit Office of NSW, appeared before the Committee at 11.05am. Ms Crawford and Mr Underwood withdrew at 11.30am.

5. General Business

Resolved, on the motion of Mr Greg Aplin, seconded by Mr Ron Hoenig: That the Committee:

- a) Write to the stakeholders who appeared at today's meeting with any further questions; and that these questions to be considered by the Committee prior to sending;
- b) Invite the Ethics Adviser to appear at a future deliberative meeting of the Committee; and

c) Request that the Clerk circulate the Canadian Ethics Commissioner report to the Committee.

•••

MINUTES OF MEETING NO. 5

2:00pm, Monday 8 August 2016 Room 1254, Parliament House

Members Present

Mr Mark Coure MP (Chair), Mr Greg Aplin MP, Mr Kevin Conolly MP, Mr Ron Hoenig MP, Mr Jai Rowell MP (Deputy Chair)

Officers in Attendance

Ms Ronda Miller, Clerk of the Legislative Assembly, Ms Helen Minnican, Deputy Clerk of the Legislative Assembly, Mr Jonathan Elliott, Director, Procedural Research and Training, Ms Rickee Murray, Parliamentary Officer

Meeting opened at 2:00pm.

1. Apologies

Mr Adam Marshall MP

Resolved, on the motion of Mr Kevin Conolly, seconded by Mr Greg Aplin: That the apologies be accepted.

...

4. Review of the Code of Conduct for Members

The Chair drew Members' attention to the following papers previously provided:

- a) Summary of the stakeholders discussion which were held on Friday 3 June; and
- b) Correspondence received from ICAC in response to further questions put by the Committee.

Discussion ensued.

The Chair informed Members that he had received a response from Mr Alister Henskens MP and will make this available to Members through the secretariat.

...

MINUTES OF MEETING NO. 6

4:30pm, Thursday 25 August 2016 Room 1254, Parliament House

Members Present

Mr Mark Coure MP (Chair), Mr Kevin Conolly MP, Mr Ron Hoenig MP, Mr Greg Aplin MP, Mr Adam Marshall MP

Officers in Attendance

Ms Ronda Miller, Clerk of the Legislative Assembly, Ms Helen Minnican, Deputy Clerk of the Legislative Assembly, Mr Jonathan Elliott, Director, Procedural Research and Training, Ms Rickee Murray, Parliamentary Officer

Meeting opened at 4:35pm.

1. Apologies

Mr Jai Rowell MP (Deputy Chair)

...

3. Review of the Code of Conduct for Members

The Chair drew Members' attention to the correspondence received from Mr Alister Henskens MP and from The Audit Office of New South Wales, both in response to further questions put by the Committee. Discussion ensued.

Resolved, on the motion of Mr Ron Hoenig, seconded by Mr Adam Marshall: That the Committee notes the correspondence received.

•••

MINUTES OF MEETING NO. 7

4:00pm, Thursday 13 October 2016 Room 1043, Parliament House

Members Present

Mr Mark Coure MP, Chair, Mr Kevin Conolly MP, Mr Ron Hoenig MP, Mr Greg Aplin MP, Mr Chris Gulaptis MP, Mr Jai Rowell MP (Deputy Chair)

Officers in Attendance

Ms Helen Minnican, Deputy Clerk of the Legislative Assembly, Mr Jonathan Elliott, Director, Procedural Research and Training, Ms Rickee Murray, Parliamentary Officer

Meeting opened at 4:05pm.

1. Apologies

None received.

The Chair advised of the appointment to the Committee of Mr Chris Gulaptis in place of Mr Marshall.

•••

4. Members' Code of Conduct

The Chair drew Members' attention to the briefing note from the former Clerk on the Code of Conduct previously circulated. Discussion ensued.

...

MINUTES OF MEETING NO. 8

12:30pm, Tuesday 15 November 2016 Room 1254, Parliament House

Members Present

Mr Mark Coure MP, Chair, Mr Jai Rowell MP (Deputy Chair), Mr Kevin Conolly MP, Mr Ron Hoenig MP, Mr Greg Aplin MP, Mr Chris Gulaptis MP

Officers in Attendance

Ms Helen Minnican, A/Clerk of the Legislative Assembly, Mr Jonathan Elliott, Director, Procedural Research and Protocol, Ms Rickee Murray, Parliamentary Officer

Meeting opened at 12:35pm.

1. Apologies

None received.

...

4. Review of the Members' Code of Conduct

- a) The Chair advised Members that he would be consulting on his draft report before submitting it to the Committee for consideration in February 2017.
- b) The Chair referred Members to the Stakeholder's written submissions to the review previously circulated at the March and August meetings and proposed that these be published on the Committee's webpage.

Resolved, on the motion of Mr Chris Gulaptis, seconded by Mr Greg Aplin: That the stakeholder written responses which have been received by the Committee, in the course of its review, now be published on the Committee's website.

••

MINUTES OF MEETING NO. 11

12:00pm, Wednesday 5 April 2017 Room 1254, Parliament House

Members Present

Mr Mark Taylor MP (elected Chair), Mr Michael Johnsen MP (elected Deputy Chair), Mr Kevin Conolly MP, Mr Ron Hoenig MP, Mr Greg Aplin MP, Mr Damien Tudehope MP

Officers in Attendance

Ms Helen Minnican, Clerk of the Legislative Assembly, Mr Jonathan Elliott, Director, Procedural Research and Protocol, Ms Rickee Murray, Parliamentary Officer

The Chair and Deputy Chair of the Committee having been discharged, the Clerk opened the meeting at 12:04pm.

1. Apologies

None received.

2. Committee Membership

The Clerk reported a change in membership of the Committee as recorded in the Votes and Proceedings, no. 110 Wednesday 29 March 2017, entry 14.

Mr Michael Johnsen, Mr Mark Taylor and Mr Damien Tudehope appointed to the Committee in place of Mr Mark Coure, Mr Christopher Gulaptis and Mr Jai Rowell discharged.

3. Election of Chair and Deputy Chair

There being a consequential vacancy in the office of Chair of the Committee, in accordance with Standing Order 282, the Clerk sought nominations for the office of Chair.

Resolved, on the motion of Mr Kevin Conolly, seconded by Mr Damien Tudehope: that Mr Mark Taylor be elected Chair of the Committee.

There being a consequential vacancy in the office of Deputy Chair of the Committee, in accordance with Standing Order 282, the Chair sought nominations for the office of Deputy Chair.

Resolved, on the motion of Mr Greg Aplin, seconded by Mr Damien Tudehope: that Mr Michael Johnsen be elected Deputy Chair of the Committee.

...

5. General Business

Members noted their thanks to the recently discharged Members, Mr Mark Coure, Mr Jai Rowell and Mr Chris Gulaptis, for their contributions to the work of the Committee.

•••

MINUTES OF MEETING NO. 12

1:00pm, Thursday 25 May 2017 Room 1254, Parliament House

Members present:

Mr Mark Taylor MP (Chair), Mr Michael Johnsen MP (Deputy Chair), Mr Kevin Conolly MP, Mr Greg Aplin MP, Mr Damien Tudehope MP

Officers in attendance:

Mr Jonathan Elliott, Director, Procedural Research and Protocol, Ms Rickee Murray, Parliamentary Officer

Chair opened meeting at 1:01pm

1. Apologies

Mr Ron Hoenig MP

...

4. Review of the Code of Conduct

The Chair circulated copies of the draft discussion paper on the Review of the Code of Conduct.

Resolved, on the motion of Mr Kevin Conolly, seconded by Mr Greg Aplin: that the Committee notes the Chair's draft discussion paper for consideration at its next meeting and for it to remain confidential until such time as the Committee authorises its disclosure.

A copy of the draft discussion paper to be provided to Mr Ron Hoenig.

...

MINUTES OF MEETING NO. 13

9:15am, Thursday 22 June 2017 Room 1043, Parliament House

Members present:

Mr Mark Taylor MP (Chair), Mr Michael Johnsen MP (Deputy Chair), Mr Kevin Conolly MP, Mr Greg Aplin MP, Mr Damien Tudehope MP, Mr Ron Hoenig MP

Officers in attendance:

Mr Jonathan Elliott, Director, Procedural Research and Protocol, Ms Rickee Murray, Parliamentary Officer

Chair opened meeting at 9:18am

1. Apologies

None received.

..

3. Review of the Code of Conduct

The Chair referred to the draft discussion paper circulated at the last meeting and invited discussion.

Discussion ensued.

The Chair advised the Committee that amendments to the draft code (in Chapter One of the draft discussion paper) had been proposed by Mr Ron Hoenig and circulated to the Committee as paper 3a. The amendments being as follows:

No.1 Preamble

Insert the word "the conventions" so that it would read "respecting the law, and the institution and conventions of Parliament".

No.2 Improper Influence

In 2 (b), replace "or" with "and" so that it would read "A Member must not knowingly and improperly"

In 2 (c) add the word "knowingly and", to read "A Member must not knowingly and"

No.3 Use of public resources

Replace "never" with "not knowingly", to read "The use of public resources should not knowingly"

No.4 Use of Confidential Information

Insert "knowingly" to read "It must never be knowingly"

No.5 Disclosure of interests

After the words "frank in", insert "taking all reasonable steps"

No.6 Gifts

In 6 (b), add "knowingly" after "Members must not"

No.7 Upholding the Code

Delete the words "undertaken by or", to thus read "Members have a duty to cooperate fully with any processes under the authority of the House".

Discussion ensued.

Resolved, on the motion of Mr Ron Hoenig, seconded by Mr Kevin Conolly: that the amendments to the draft code as proposed by Mr Ron Hoenig be adopted.

Resolved, on the motion of Mr Conolly, seconded by Mr Johnsen: that the Committee Secretariat are authorised to make any necessary changes to the text of the draft discussion paper to ensure that it reflects the Committee's amendments to the draft code (in Chapter One).

Mr Conolly then invited discussion as to whether the intent of clauses 1 (c), 3 (c) and 6 in the current Code of Conduct for Members, should be retained in the Committee's draft code by:

- 1. Inserting the text of the existing clause 6 into the proposed clause 1 "Purpose of the Code" as the fourth paragraph of that clause;
- 2. Adding an additional paragraph to clause 5 of the proposed draft:
- "A conflict of interest does not exist where the member is only affected as a member of the public or as a member of a broad class."; and
- 3. In clause 6 of the proposed draft, add a new subclause (c):
- "(c) Nothing in this code precludes the giving or accepting of political donations in accordance with the Election Funding, Expenditure and Disclosures Act 1981."

The Committee agreed to seek stakeholder consultation on this proposal before considering it further at a future meeting.

Accordingly, it was resolved, on the motion of Mr Kevin Conolly, seconded by Mr Michael Johnsen: that the amendments proposed by Mr Kevin Conolly be incorporated into the draft code at Chapter One using identifiable colour coding.

The Committee then considered whether to send the draft discussion paper to the stakeholders listed on the Agenda as Item 3b:

- The Audit Office of NSW;
- Mr John Evans PSM, Parliamentary Ethics Adviser
- Mr Alister Henskens MP, Member for Ku-ring-gai;
- The Independent Commission Against Corruption;

- Dr Robert Waldersee, formerly, the Executive Director, Corruption Prevention Division, ICAC;
- Ms Ronda Miller, former Clerk of the Legislative Assembly (for consideration).

Discussion ensued and it was resolved, on the motion of Mr Greg Aplin, seconded by Mr Ron Hoenig; that the confidential draft discussion paper be sent to Mr Alister Henskens MP for comment.

...

MINUTES OF MEETING NO. 14

9:30am, Thursday 14 September 2017 Room 1043, Parliament House

Members present:

Mr Mark Taylor MP (Chair), Mr Michael Johnsen MP (Deputy Chair), Mr Kevin Conolly MP, Mr Greg Aplin MP, Mr Damien Tudehope MP, Mr Ron Hoenig MP

Officers in attendance:

Ms Helen Minnican, Clerk of the Legislative Assembly, Mr Jonathan Elliott, A/ Clerk-Assistant, Table, Ms Rickee Murray, Parliamentary Officer

Chair opened meeting at 9:33am

1. Apologies

None received.

...

3. Review of the Code of Conduct

The Chair referred to the draft discussion paper previously circulated to Members.

The Chair advised members that, as resolved at the last meeting, the Chair, on behalf of the Committee had sent Mr Alister Henskens MP, Member for Ku-ring-gai, the draft discussion paper for review and comment. A response had not yet been received.

The Chair noted that at the previous meeting, the Committee had considered amendments circulated by Mr Conolly. Those amendments proposed that clauses 1 (c), 3 (c) and 6 in the current Code of Conduct for Members, should be retained in the Committee's draft code by:

- 1. Inserting the text of the existing clause 6 into the proposed clause 1 "Purpose of the Code" as the fourth paragraph of that clause;
- Adding an additional paragraph to clause 5 of the proposed draft:
 "A conflict of interest does not exist where the member is only affected as a member of the public or as a member of a broad class."; and
- 3. In clause 6 of the proposed draft, add a new subclause (c): "(c) Nothing in this code precludes the giving or accepting of political donations in accordance with the Election Funding, Expenditure and Disclosures Act 1981."

The Committee then resolved, on the motion of Mr Damien Tudehope, seconded by Mr Ron Hoenig: to

- 1. Adopt Mr Conolly's amendments to the draft Code;
- 2. Authorise the Committee Secretariat to make any necessary changes to the text of the draft discussion paper in Chapter Two to ensure that it reflects the Committee's amendments to the draft code (in Chapter One); and
- 3. Recirculate the draft to Committee and Mr Alister Henskens MP, before sending to the stakeholders

The Committee then resolved, on the motion of Mr Ron Hoenig, seconded by Mr Kevin Conolly: to send the draft discussion paper to the following stakeholders on a confidential basis, for their review and comment by 9 October 2017:

- The Audit Office of NSW:
- Mr John Evans PSM, Parliamentary Ethics Adviser;
- The Independent Commission Against Corruption;
- Dr Robert Waldersee, former Executive Director, Corruption Prevention Division, ICAC; and
- Ms Ronda Miller, former Clerk of the Legislative Assembly.

...

MINUTES OF MEETING NO. 15

9:15am, Thursday 21 September 2017 Room 1043, Parliament House

Members present:

Mr Mark Taylor MP (Chair), Mr Kevin Conolly MP, Mr Greg Aplin MP

Officers in attendance:

Ms Helen Minnican, Clerk of the Legislative Assembly, Mr Jonathan Elliott, A/Clerk-Assistant, Table, Ms Rickee Murray, Parliamentary Officer

Chair opened meeting at 9:16am

1. Apologies

Mr Michael Johnsen MP (Deputy Chair) Mr Ron Hoenig MP Mr Damien Tudehope MP

•••

3. Review of the Code of Conduct

The Chair noted that pursuant to the Committee's previous resolution the draft discussion paper had been sent to the following stakeholders, on a confidential basis, for their review and comment by 9 October 2017:

- The Audit Office of NSW;
- Mr John Evans PSM, Parliamentary Ethics Adviser;
- The Independent Commission Against Corruption;
- Dr Robert Waldersee, former Executive Director, Corruption Prevent ion Division, ICAC; and

• Ms Ronda Miller, former Clerk of the Legislative Assembly.

The Committee agreed to the Chair's proposal that Mr Henskens' response and Mr Hoenig's further amendments, be considered along with the other stakeholder feedback due on 9 October.

...

MINUTES OF MEETING NO. 16

9:15am, Wednesday 22 November 2017 Room 1254, Parliament House

Members present:

Mr Mark Taylor MP (Chair), Mr Michael Johnsen MP (Deputy Chair), Mr Kevin Conolly MP, Mr Greg Aplin MP, Mr Ron Hoenig MP, Mr Damien Tudehope MP

Officers in attendance:

Ms Helen Minnican, Clerk of the Legislative Assembly, Mr Jonathan Elliott, A/Clerk-Assist ant, Table, Ms Rickee Murray, Parliamentary Officer

Chair opened meeting at 9:17am

1. Apologies

None received.

•••

3. Review of the Code of Conduct

The Chair noted that feedback from the stakeholders on the draft discussion paper had been received; and Mr Hoenig had proposed an amendment to Clause 1 paragraph 4.

- The Audit Office of NSW;
- Mr John Evans PSM, Parliamentary Ethics Advise r;
- The Independent Commission Against Corruption;
- Dr Robert Waldersee, former Executive Director, Corruption Prevention Division, ICAC; and
- Ms Ronda Miller, former Clerk of the Legislative Assembly.

Discussion ensued.

Following the discussions it was agreed that the Committee Secretariat would circulate a briefing note for the Committee's next meeting summarising the options for making final amendments to the draft.

...

MINUTES OF MEETING NO. 17

9:30am, Wednesday 14 February 2018 Room 1043, Parliament House

Members present:

Mr Mark Taylor MP (Chair), Mr Michael Johnsen MP (Deputy Chair), Mr Kevin Conolly MP, Mr Greg Aplin MP, Mr Ron Hoenig MP, Mr Damien Tudehope MP

Officers in attendance:

Ms Helen Minnican, Clerk of the Legislative Assembly, Ms Carly Maxwell, A/Clerk-Assistant, Table, Mr Jonathan Elliott, Director, Committees, Ms Rickee Murray, Parliamentary Officer

Chair opened meeting at 9:32am

1. Apologies

None received.

...

3. Review of the Code of Conduct

The Chair noted that copies of the revised draft code had been circulated to Members in their meeting papers.

Discussion ensued.

...

Following discussion it was agreed that the Committee Secretariat would circulate a draft discussion paper on the Code of Conduct in advance of the Committee's next meeting.

•••

MINUTES OF MEETING NO. 18

9:15am, Wednesday 7 March 2018 Room 1043, Parliament House

Members present:

Mr Mark Taylor MP (Chair), Mr Kevin Conolly MP, Mr Greg Aplin MP, Mr Ron Hoenig MP, Mr Damien Tudehope MP

Officers in attendance:

Ms Helen Minnican, Clerk of the Legislative Assembly, Ms Carly Maxwell, A/Clerk-Assistant, Table, Mr Jonathan Elliott, Director, Committees, Ms Rickee Murray, Parliamentary Officer

Chair opened meeting at 9:15am

1. Apologies

Mr Michael Johnsen MP (Deputy Chair)

. . .

3. Review of the Code of Conduct

The Chair noted that copies of the revised draft code had been circulated to Members in their meeting papers.

Resolved, on the motion of Mr Damien Tudehope, seconded by Mr Ron Hoenig: that the draft discussion paper be adopted by the Committee and that it be signed by the Chair and presented to the House;

o That the Chair and committee staff be permitted to correct stylistic, typographical and grammatical errors; and o That, once tabled, the discussion paper be published on the Committee's website and that those stakeholders who provided commentary on the confidential draft of the paper's publication in October 2017 be notified by the Committee secretariat.

•••

MINUTES OF MEETING NO. 20

12:00pm, Wednesday 23 May 2018 Room 1254, Parliament House

Members present:

Mr Mark Taylor MP (Chair), Mr Kevin Conolly MP, Mr Ron Hoenig MP, Mr Damien Tudehope MP, Mr Greg Aplin MP

Officers in attendance:

Ms Carly Maxwell, A/Clerk-Assistant, Table, Mr Jonathan Elliott, Director, Committees, Ms Rickee Murray, Parliamentary Officer

Chair opened meeting at 12:09pm

1. Apologies

Mr Michael Johnsen MP (Deputy Chair).

. . .

3. Review of the Code of Conduct for Members

The Chair noted that feedback on the Discussion Paper had been received from the following:

- Dr Robert Waldersee, formerly the Executive Director, Corruption Prevention Division, ICAC;
- o Ms Ronda Miller, former Clerk of the Legislative Assembly; and
- o Mr Alister Henskens MP, Member for Ku-Ring-Gai.

The Chair further noted that the Audit Office of NSW and The Independent Commission Against Corruption (ICAC) had advised the Committee that they had no further comments.

Discussion ensued.

Resolved, on the motion of Mr Kevin Conolly, seconded by Mr Ron Hoenig: that the Committee amend the draft Code to:

- Remove the final paragraph of Clause 2(c) and establish it as a separate clause, Clause
 5, entitled "Limitation on breach of Code"; and
- Replace "This should always be done in favour of the public interest." In paragraph 1 of Clause 6 with "The public interest is always to be favoured over any private interest of the Member."

The Chair advised that he would present a draft final report to the Committee for their consideration at its next meeting.

. . .

UNCONFIRMED MINUTES OF MEETING NO. 21

12:15pm, Wednesday 20 June 2018 Room 1254, Parliament House

Members present:

Mr Mark Taylor MP (Chair), Mr Kevin Conolly MP, Mr Ron Hoenig MP, Mr Damien Tudehope MP

Officers in attendance:

Ms Carly Maxwell, A/Clerk-Assistant, Table, Mr Jonathan Elliott, Director, Committees, Ms Stephanie Mulvey, Research Officer, Ms Rickee Murray, Parliamentary Officer

Chair opened meeting at 12:16pm

1. Apologies

Mr Michael Johnsen MP (Deputy Chair) and Mr Greg Aplin MP.

. . .

3. Review of the Code of Conduct for Members

The Chair noted that the report on the Review of the Code of Conduct for Members had been previously circulated.

Mr Damien Tudehope proposed that the Committee consider the report *in globo*, the Committee agreed.

Resolved, on the motion of Mr Kevin Conolly, seconded by Mr Damien Tudehope: that the draft report be adopted by the Committee and that it be signed by the Chair and presented to the House:

- That the Committee staff be permitted to correct stylistic, typographical and grammatical errors; and
- That, once tabled, the report be published on the Committee's webpage.

. . .